

Daf Review – Shabbos 68

Compiled by: Shlomo Kanner

Mishna:

- The *Mishna* announces a “*klal gadol*” regarding *Shabbos*: There are 4 cases regarding one who inadvertently violated *Shabbos*:
 - One who is unaware of the concept of *Shabbos* and as a result violates many transgressions over many *Shabbosos*, is only *chayav* to bring one *chatas*
 - One who knows about the concept of *Shabbos* and inadvertently violates many *Shabbosos*, is *chayav* a separate *chatas* for each *Shabbos*
 - One who knows about the concept of *Shabbos* but is unaware that *melochos* are forbidden, is liable a *chatas* for each and every primary (*av*) *melacha*
 - One who inadvertently performs many subsets (*toldos*) of one primary (*av*) *melacha*, is only *chayav* one *chatas*

Gemara:

- Why the term “*klal gadol*”? The term “*klal gadol*” is used to indicate that these laws (here, *Shabbos*) are more stringent than a different set of similar laws (*Shmita*). “*Klal gadol*” is also used regarding the law of *Shmita*, which are more stringent than the laws of *Maaser*.
- The *Gemara* establishes that the first case of the *Mishna* is when one knew about the concept of *Shabbos* then forgot about the concept of *Shabbos* for many weeks thus violating many weeks of *Shabbos*. In such a case, that person is liable only one *chatas*
 - *Rav and Shmuel* – this case of the *Mishna* is similar to the case of a child that was captured and raised amongst non-Jews and one who converted to Judaism and was raised among non-Jews. Those individuals are also only *chayav* one *chatas*
 - *R’ Yochanan and Raish Lakesh* – only in the case of our *Mishna*, i.e., when one knew about the concept of *Shabbos* then forgot, will he be liable one *chatas*. However, a child that was captured and raised amongst non-Jews and one who converted to Judaism and was raised among non-Jews would not be liable even one *chatas* since they are considered an *ones*.
 - The *machlokes* between *Rav and Shmuel* and *R’ Yochanan and Raish Lakesh* is the same as found in a *braisa* between the *Chachamim* and *Munvaz* regarding whether one who is an *ones* is liable a *chatas* for transgressing *Shabbos*.